United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,646	10/11/2001	Loren R. Pickart	15672-000710	2075	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 01/08/2008 AND TOWNSEND AND	CREW ILP	EXAMINER		
	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			TELLER, ROY R	
EIGHTH FLO	FLOOR ANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
57 IN TICHNO	SANTRANCISCO, CH 54111-3034		1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/976,646	PICKART, LOREN R.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Roy Teller	1654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30</u>	November 2007.			
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-9,13-15 and 17-20</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-9,13-15,17-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure		rospiyod		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	i eceived.		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nformal Patent Application		

09/976,646 Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/30/07 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 13-15 and 17-20 are under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 13-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pickart (U.S. Patent No. 5,382,431) in view of Pickart (U.S. Patent No. 5,888,522).

The instant invention is drawn to a method of remodeling blemished skin via topically

09/976,646

Art Unit: 1654

applying an effective amount of a ionic metal-peptide (peptone) hydrolyzate (digest).

Pickart ('431) discloses an ionic-metal peptone digest for accelerating wound healing via topically applying an effective amount of an ionic metal-peptone (peptide) digest (hydrolyzate). See, for example, claims 1-7. This reads on instant claims 1, 3, 5-9, 13-15 and 17-20.

Pickart ('522) discloses a method of preparing an ionic-metal peptone digest. See, for example, claims 1 and 3-7.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the ionic metal-peptone digest used in the US '431 claims via the preparation methods beneficially disclosed in US '522 (see entire document including claims). The adjustment of particular conventional working conditions (e.g., digesting the peptide via one of various commonly employed, art-recognized techniques- such as those instantly claimed), is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Thus the claims are considered to be obvious variations (by claim terminology) of using the same product- and not patentably distinct. In view of the forgoing, the current invention is an obvious variation of the invention claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,382,431 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,888,522.

Conclusion

All claims are rejected.

09/976,646

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is 571-272-0971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RT 1654 1/2/08

> CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER